In re: Ines Batinic-Haberle et al. Application No. 10/588,332

REMARKS

This is in response to the Examiner Interview Summary Record mailed June 23, 2010, in which it was pointed out that the claims should be amended according to the elected subject matter (Group IV) before a notice of allowance can be mailed.

In the Official Action of January 7, 2009, Group IV is identified as the compounds of Formulas VII and VIII.

The requested amendment directing the claims to Formulas VII and VIII has been made above, and it is respectfully submitted that this case should now pass to issuance.

Please note that amendments proposed in applicants first Rule 312 amendment filed April 19, 2010, particularly with respect to claims 39-46, have been identified as "previously presented" herein.

No new issues are believed to be raised, and entry of this Amendment is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Kenneth D. Sibley Registration No. 31,665

USPTO Customer No. 20792 Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A. P.O. Box 37428 Raleigh, NC 27627

Telephone: (919) 854-1400 Facsimile: (919) 854-1401

doc. 909368